

Cohomology of invertible sheaves on Projective spaces

13 December 2024 10:58

Let A be a ^{noetherian} k -ring. Recall $\mathbb{P}_A^d := \text{Proj}(A[x_0, \dots, x_d])$ with the $\mathcal{O}(m) := A[x_0, \dots, x_d](m)$ are invertible sheaves on $\mathbb{P}_A^d$

Thm. Let $S = A[x_0, \dots, x_d]$ be the standard graded ring i.e. $\deg a = 0$ if $a \in A$, $\deg(x_i) = 1 \forall i$. $X = \text{Proj}(S)$

① The natural map $S_m \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m))$ is an isom $\forall m \geq 0$
For $m < 0$, $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0$

② For $m > -d-1$, a) $H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0$

$$b) H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-d-1)) \cong A$$

c) There is a A -bilinear pairing for each $m \geq 0$

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \times H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-d-1-m)) \rightarrow H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-d-1)) \cong A$$

which induces an isom

$$H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-d-1-m)) \cong \text{Hom}_A(H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)), A)$$

③ For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $H^j(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0 \quad \forall \quad 0 < j < m$

④ If $j > d$, $H^j(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for any q. coh sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X

Pf ① was an exercise.

We compute the sheaf cohomologies of $\mathcal{O}_X(m)$ using the Čech complex given by cover

$$X = \bigcup_{i=0}^d D_+(x_i) \quad \text{Denote } U = \{D_+(x_i) : i=0, \dots, d\}$$

① Since $C^j(U, \mathcal{F}) = 0 \quad \forall \quad j > d$, and

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^j(X, \mathcal{F}) \quad \forall j, \text{ we are done.}$$

$$H^j(X, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^j(X, \mathcal{F}) \quad \forall j, \text{ we are done.}$$

Consider $\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_X(m) \in \text{Qcoh}(X)$. $\mathcal{P}$ is a

$\mathbb{Z}$ -graded sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -mod. So $C^\bullet(U, \mathcal{P})$ is a complex of graded $\mathcal{O}_X$ -mod: $C^j(U, \mathcal{P})_m := C^j(U, \mathcal{O}_X(m))$, and the boundary maps of $C^\bullet(U, \mathcal{P})$ taken the m -th graded piece of $C^j(U, \mathcal{P})$ to the m -th graded piece of $C^{j+1}(U, \mathcal{F})$. Thus the cohomology grps of $C^\bullet(U, \mathcal{P})$ are graded and

$$H^j(C^\bullet(U, \mathcal{P}))_m =: \check{H}^j(X, \mathcal{P})_m = \check{H}^j(U, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \stackrel{\sim}{=} H^j(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m))$$

$$\Gamma(D_+(x_{i_0} \dots x_{i_j}), \mathcal{P})$$

$$= \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(D_+(x_{i_0} \dots x_{i_j}), \mathcal{O}_X(m))$$

$$= \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(S(m) \left[\frac{1}{x_{i_0} \dots x_{i_j}} \right] \right)_0$$

$$= \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} S \left[\frac{1}{x_{i_0} \dots x_{i_j}} \right]_m = S \left[\frac{1}{x_{i_0} \dots x_{i_j}} \right] \text{ as graded objects}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{d-1}(U, \mathcal{P}) & & C^d(U, \mathcal{P}) \\ \downarrow \times & \longrightarrow & \\ \bigoplus_{j=0}^d S \left[\frac{1}{x_0 \dots \hat{x}_j \dots x_d} \right] & \longrightarrow & S \left[\frac{1}{x_0 \dots x_d} \right] \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The boundary map is given by alternating sum of the inclusion maps

$$S \left[\frac{1}{x_0 \dots \hat{x}_j \dots x_d} \right] \longrightarrow S \left[\frac{1}{x_0 \dots x_d} \right]$$

So the cokernel of $\times$ is isom to an graded S -mod to

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\ \sum a_i = d}} A \cdot \left[\frac{1}{x_0^{a_0} \dots x_d^{a_d}} \right], \quad [] \text{ denotes the class in the quotient.}$$

$\bigoplus_{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} A[x_0^{a_0} \dots x_d^{a_d}]$, L denotes the lines in the quotient.

Then a) $\text{coker}(\pi)_m = \tilde{H}^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0$ if $m > -(d+1)$

b) if $m = -(d+1)$

$$H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-(d+1))) = \text{coker}(\pi)_{-(d+1)} = A \cdot \left[\frac{1}{x_0 \dots x_d} \right]$$

For $m \leq -(d+1)$, we get

$$H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{a_0 + \dots + a_d = m \\ a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \forall i}} A \left[\frac{1}{x_0^{a_0} \dots x_d^{a_d}} \right]$$

c) The natural multiplication map

$$S \otimes_X S \left[\frac{1}{x_0 \dots x_d} \right] \rightarrow S \left[\frac{1}{x_0 \dots x_d} \right]$$

induces a map $H^d(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^d(X, \mathcal{P})$

$$S \otimes_X \text{coker}(\pi) \rightarrow \text{coker}(\pi)$$

Restricting this we get an A -lin pairing

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = S_m \otimes H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-(d+1-m))) \rightarrow H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-(d+1)))$$

$$H^d(X, \mathcal{P})_{-d-1-m} \quad A \cdot \left[\frac{1}{x_0 \dots x_d} \right]$$

Note that $H^d(X, \mathcal{P})_{-d-1-m} = H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-(d+1-m)))$ is a free mod with basis of

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{x_0^{a_0} \dots x_d^{a_d}} \mid a_i \geq 1, a_1 + \dots + a_d = -(d+1-m) \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{1}{x_0 \dots x_d} \cdot \frac{1}{x_0^{b_0} \dots x_d^{b_d}} \mid b_i \geq 0, \sum b_i = m \right\}$$

Then the above pairing is perfect.

(3) We finish by inducing on d .

When $d=1$, nothing to prove.

T 1 \dots 1 \dots 1

When $d=1$, nothing to prove.

For $d > 1$, consider the exact seq

$$0 \rightarrow S(-1) \xrightarrow{x_0} S \rightarrow \frac{S}{x_0 S} \rightarrow 0$$

Applying $\sim : \text{Mod}_S^{\text{gr}} \rightarrow \text{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_X)$, get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-1) \xrightarrow{x_0} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow i_* (\mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

where $Y = \text{Proj} \left(\frac{A[x_0, \dots, x_d]}{x_0} \right) = \mathbb{P}_A^{d-1}$ and

$i: Y \hookrightarrow X$ is the closed immersion induced by

$$A[x_0, \dots, x_d] \rightarrow \frac{A[x_0, \dots, x_d]}{x_0}.$$

Take (1) $\otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m)$ — since $\mathcal{O}_X(m)$ is locally free — $\otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m)$ is exact. But

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(m-1) \xrightarrow{x_0} \mathcal{O}_X(m) \rightarrow i_* (\mathcal{O}_Y(m)) \rightarrow 0$$

The long exact seq of cohomology groups give

Take $\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of the above exact sequences;

denote $\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_Y(m)$ by $\mathcal{G}_Y$. This gives an exact seq

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow i_* (\mathcal{G}_Y) \rightarrow 0$$

Here $\mathcal{G}(-1)$ is the sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ whose grading is shifted by -1 ; i.e. $[\mathcal{G}(-1)]_m = \mathcal{G}_{m-1} \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The above exact seq induces a long exact seq of cohomology groups.

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{G}(-1)) \xrightarrow{x_0} H^0(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{G}_Y) \rightarrow$$

$$\hookrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{G}(-1)) \xrightarrow{x_0} H^1(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{G}_Y) \rightarrow \dots$$

$$\hookrightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(-1)) \xrightarrow{x_0} H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(Y, \mathcal{F}_Y)$$

$$\rightarrow H^{i+1}(Y, \mathcal{F}_Y)$$

$$\hookrightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_{(-1)}) \xrightarrow{x_0} H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(Y, \mathcal{F}_Y)$$

• For $m > 0$, $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_{(-1)})_m \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{F})_m \quad H^0(Y, \mathcal{F}_Y)_m$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m-1)) & \rightarrow & H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) & \rightarrow & H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m)) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow \quad \quad \quad \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \text{is exact} \\ A[x_0, \dots, x_d]_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{x_0} & A[x_0, \dots, x_d]_m & \rightarrow & A[x_1, \dots, x_d]_m & & \end{array}$$

• A similar argument shows that the seq below is exact $\forall m$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow H^{d-1}(Y, \mathcal{F}_Y)_m & \rightarrow & H^d(X, \mathcal{F}_{(-1)})_m & \xrightarrow{x_0} & H^d(X, \mathcal{F})_m & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ 0 \rightarrow H^{d-1}(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m)) & \rightarrow & H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m-1)) & \xrightarrow{x_0} & H^d(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ 0 \leftarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-d-m)) & \leftarrow & H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-d-1-m+1)) & \xleftarrow{x_0} & H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-d-1-m)) & \leftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Then we conclude that

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = H^i(X, \mathcal{F}_{(-1)}) \xrightarrow{x_0} H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$$

is an automorphism $\forall i \geq 1$.

We finish the proof using the following

Claim: The localization of the S -mod $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ at $\{1, x_0, x_0^2, \dots\}$ is zero $\forall i \geq 1$

i.e. $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})[\frac{1}{x_0}] = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 1$

Pf

Recall that the Čech complex

$C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is a complex of S -mods.

11

$C^*(U, \mathcal{F})$ is a complex of $\mathcal{S}$ -mods.

Note The complex

$$0 \rightarrow C^0(U, \mathcal{F}) \left[\frac{1}{x_0} \right] \rightarrow C^1(U, \mathcal{F}) \left[\frac{1}{x_0} \right] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C^d(U, \mathcal{F}) \left[\frac{1}{x_0} \right] \rightarrow 0$$

is the Čech complex of $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A[x_0, \dots, x_n]) \left[\frac{1}{x_0} \right]}$

with respect to the covering

$$\left\{ \text{Spec}(A[x_0, \dots, x_n] \left[\frac{1}{x_i} \right]) \right\}_{i=0, \dots, d}$$

So $H^j(x \times) = 0 \quad \forall j \geq 1$ - since $\mathcal{F}$ -coh sheaves on an affine scheme do not have any higher cohomology.

But localization is an exact functor.

$$H^j(x \times) = H^j(C^*(U, \mathcal{F})) \left[\frac{1}{x_0} \right] = 0 \quad \forall j \geq 1$$

Thm. Let A be a noetherian. $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ be projective, $\mathcal{L}$ be ample on X ;

$\mathcal{F}$ be a coh $\mathcal{O}_X$ -mod

$$(1) \quad H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \text{ are f.g. } A \text{ mod } \forall i$$

$$(2) \quad \exists n_{\mathcal{F}} \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq n_{\mathcal{F}} \text{ and } i > 0, \quad H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = 0$$

$$\text{for } n \geq n_{\mathcal{F}}$$

Pf. Choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\mathcal{L}^m$ is very ample. So we have a closed immersion

$$\varphi: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^d \text{ s.t. } \varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^d}(1)) \cong \mathcal{L}^m.$$

(1) Since $R^i \varphi_* = 0 \quad \forall i > 0$, for any $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(X)$,

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{G}) \cong H^i(\mathbb{P}_A^d, \varphi_* \mathcal{G}) \quad \forall i.$$

Moreover since $\varphi_*(\text{Coh}(X)) \subseteq \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_A^d)$, w.l.o.g. we assume in (1), that $X = \mathbb{P}_A^d$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_A^d)$

Moreover since $\varphi_*(\mathcal{O}_X(X)) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X(\mathbb{P}_A^d)$, w.l.o.g. we assume in (1), that $X = \mathbb{P}_A^d$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{G}h(\mathbb{P}_A^d)$

$$\text{Set } \mathcal{O}(j) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^d}(j)$$

Proof: Every coherent sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathbb{P}_A^d$ can be written as a quotient of a finite direct sum

$$\bigoplus \mathcal{O}(j) \quad [\text{The same } \mathcal{O}(j) \text{ may appear multiple times}].$$

Pf: For $0 \leq i \leq d$, suppose $m_{i1} \dots m_{in_i} \in \Gamma(D_+(x_i), \mathcal{G})$ generate $\Gamma(D_+(x_i), \mathcal{G})$ as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^d}(D_+(x_i))$ mod.

Realizing $x_i \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_A^d, \mathcal{O}(1))$, recall that $\exists$ no s.t.

$m_{ij} \otimes x_i^{n_0}$ extends to a global section $\tilde{m}_{ij} \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_A^d, \mathcal{G}(n_0))$

For $1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq n_i$

$$\text{Consider} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{n_0 + \dots + n_d} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^d} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(n_0) \\ 1 & \longmapsto & \tilde{m}_{ij} \end{array}$$

This induces $\bigoplus_{n_0 + \dots + n_d} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^d}(-n_0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ which is sur.

In fact on $D_+(x_i)$ $m_{ij} = (m_{ij} \otimes x_i^{n_0}) \otimes \frac{1}{x_i^{n_0}}$

$$= \tilde{m}_{ij} \otimes \frac{1}{x_i^{n_0}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{x_i^{n_0}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^d}(-n_0).$$

is in the image $\forall 1 \leq j \leq n_i$. $\square$

Returning to (2), choose a surjection α in Proof

$$\bigoplus \mathcal{O}(j) = \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

Suppose the kernel is $\mathcal{R}$, have an exact seq

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

We induce on $d+1-i$, to conclude (1):

When $d+1-i=0$, since $H^{d+1}(\mathbb{P}_A^d, \mathcal{G}) = 0$, for any $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{G}h(\mathbb{P}_A^d)$. Our induction hypothesis is that for

When $d+1-i=0$, since $H^{d+1}(\mathbb{P}_A^d, \mathcal{G}) = 0$, for any $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_A^d)$. Our induction hypothesis is that for $i \geq n+1$, and any $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^d)$,

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{G}) \cong f_* \mathcal{G} / A$$

Now consider the long exact seq of cohomology groups coming from the above exact seq

$$\begin{array}{c} H^n(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{G}) \\ \searrow \hspace{10em} \nearrow \\ H^{n+1}(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{R}) \end{array}$$

$H^n(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{E}) \cong f_* \mathcal{G} / A$ by our explicit computation and $H^{n+1}(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{R}) \cong f_* \mathcal{G} / A$ by our induction hypothesis. So we are done. $\square$

(2) We use the same notation as in (1).

We first prove that for any $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}_A^d)$:

$\exists n_{\mathcal{G}}$ s.t. $H^i(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{G}(n)) = 0 \quad \forall n \geq n_{\mathcal{G}} \text{ and } i > 0$:

For that choose an exact seq

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}' \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' = \bigoplus \mathcal{O}(i) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as above and index on } d+1-i.$$

In the induction step, use the long exact seq coming from the short exact seq

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(1) \rightarrow 0,$$

which gives

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{E}'(1)) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{G}(1)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{R}'(1)).$$

Since $\mathcal{E}'$ is a finite direct sum of $\mathcal{O}(i)$'s and for $\forall i \gg 0$, $H^i(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{O}(i)) = 0 \quad \forall i$, we get the conclusion for $X = \mathbb{P}^d$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

... ..

The conclusion for $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

Deducing (2) from the case on $X = \mathbb{P}^d$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1)$:

Projection formula: $f: (T, \mathcal{O}_T) \rightarrow (T', \mathcal{O}_{T'})$ maps of ringed spaces, $M \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_T}$, $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{T'}$ mod.

Then there is a natural isom

$$f_* M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T'}} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} f_*(M \otimes f^* \mathcal{E})$$

Pf. HW

Coming back to (2), applying projection formula along

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^d, \quad \text{get} \quad \varphi_* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(n) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \varphi^* \mathcal{O}(n)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{mn}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Again since } H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{mn}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(\mathbb{P}^d, \varphi_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{mn})) \\ &\stackrel{\text{p.f.}}{\sim} H^i(\mathbb{P}^d, \varphi_* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(n)) \end{aligned}$$

and $\varphi_* \mathcal{F}$ is coherent, we recover (2) when $\mathcal{L}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{L}^m$ and for any coh $\mathcal{O}_X$ -mod $\mathcal{F}$.

Now applying this case to each of $\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}, \dots, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{m-1}\}$

get $n_{\mathcal{F}} \leq n$ s.t. $\forall n \geq n_{\mathcal{F}}$

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^j \otimes \mathcal{L}^{mn}) = 0 \quad \forall n \geq n_{\mathcal{F}} \quad \forall i > 0 \quad \forall j = 0, \dots, m-1$$

Claim: $\forall n \geq mn_{\mathcal{F}}, H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = 0 \quad \forall i > 0.$

Pf For $n \geq mn_{\mathcal{F}}$, we can write

$$n = mq + r \quad \text{where} \quad q \geq n_{\mathcal{F}} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq r \leq m-1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n &= (\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^r) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{mq} \\ &\quad \text{where } 0 \leq r \leq m-1 \\ &\quad q \geq n_{\mathcal{F}}. \end{aligned}$$

So we are done by the line above. The claim.

IF 1.9 18.12.26 lecture

So we are done by the line above the claim.

End of 18.12.24 lecture

Start of 20.12.24 lecture

The converse of the part (2) of the Thm above is true and provides a characterization of ampleness.

Thm. Let $X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ be a proper map. $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible sheaf. T.F.A.E

- ① $\mathcal{L}$ is ample
- ② For every $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$, $\exists n_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\forall n \geq n_{\mathcal{F}}$,

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = 0 \quad \forall n \geq n_{\mathcal{F}}, \quad \forall i \geq 1$$
- ③ For every $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$, $\exists n_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\forall n \geq n_{\mathcal{F}}$

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = 0 \quad \forall n \geq n_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Pf ① $\Rightarrow$ ② follows from the Thm above, indeed any proper scheme over $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ with an ample invertible sheaf is automatically projective.

② $\Rightarrow$ ③ clear

③ $\Rightarrow$ ①

Prop: Every quasi-compact scheme has a closed point

Pf. See notes.

Cor: Let Y be a quasi-compact scheme. Let $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of opens such that $\cup U_i$ contains all the closed pts of Y ; Then $Y = \cup U_i$.

Pf. $Z = Y - \cup_{i \in I} U_i$ is closed in Y , so as a top space is quasi-compact. Endow Z with a scheme structure. Z must have a closed pt. But no closed pt in Z

II Y is quasi-compact. Endow Z with a scheme structure.
 Z must have a closed pt. But a closed pt of Z is a closed point of Y not in U_i .

For (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1), The next claim is crucial.

Claim: Let $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X)$. For $x \in X$, $\exists$ an affine open nbhd U_x of x and $n_x \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall n \geq n_x$

$H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) \rightarrow \Gamma(U_x, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)$
 is surjective.

Pf. Consider x with the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{x,n} = \frac{\mathcal{O}_{X,n}}{n_x}$.

Then $j: (x, \mathcal{O}_{x,n}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a closed immersion

Have an exact seq $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow j_* (\mathcal{O}_{x,n}) \rightarrow 0$.

This gives an exact seq

$$0 \rightarrow j_* \mathcal{I}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow j_* (\mathcal{F}_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{x,n}} \mathcal{O}_{x,n}) \rightarrow 0$$

Note $j_* \mathcal{I}_x \in \text{Coh}(X)$.

Choose n_0 s.t. $\forall n \geq n_0$,

$$H^1(X, j_* \mathcal{O}_x \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = H^1(X, j_* \mathcal{I}_x \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall n \geq n_0$$

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^n) &\rightarrow H^0(x, \mathcal{L}^n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{x,n}) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_x^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{x,n}} \mathcal{O}_{x,n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) &\rightarrow H^0(x, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n \otimes \mathcal{O}_{x,n}) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_x \otimes \mathcal{L}_x^n \otimes \frac{\mathcal{O}_{x,n}}{n_x} \end{aligned}$$

are surjective.

Recall: Let R be a noeth ring, $N \rightarrow M$ maps of R -mods where M is f.g. For a prime $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$.

Recall: Let R be a noetherian ring, $N \rightarrow M$ maps of R -mods where M is f.g./ R . For a prime $p \in R$, if $\frac{N_p}{pN_p} \rightarrow \frac{M_p}{pM_p}$ is sur then

① $N_p \rightarrow M_p$ is sur, ② $\exists f \in R, f \notin p$ s.t. $N_f \rightarrow M_f$ is sur.

So, we can choose ^{an} affine opens $V, U_0, \dots, U_{n_0-1}$ nbhd of x :

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{n_0}) &\rightarrow \Gamma(V, \mathcal{L}^{n_0}) \\ \& \\ H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0+j}) &\rightarrow \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0+j}) \end{aligned}$$

are

sur $\forall j = 0, \dots, n_0-1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{n_0}) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X|V} &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{n_0}|_V \text{ is sur} \\ H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0+j}) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X|U_j} &\rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0+j}|_{U_j} \text{ are} \\ \text{sur } \forall j = 0, \dots, n_0-1 \end{aligned}$$

Claim: Set $U_x = V \cap U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_{n_0-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^j) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X|U_x} \\ \rightarrow \Gamma(U_x, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^j) \text{ is sur} \\ \forall j \geq n_0 \end{aligned}$$

Pf.

Follows from the fact that: for two opens

$V_1, V_2 \subseteq X$ and two sheaves $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ if

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_1) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{V_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1|_{V_1} \text{ is sur}$$

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_2) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{V_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2|_{V_2} \text{ is sur}$$

Then $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{V_1 \cap V_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2|_{V_1 \cap V_2}$ is sur.

Then $H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{V_1 \cup V_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2|_{V_1 \cup V_2}$ is sur.

Granting this fact, to prove the claim note that

for $n \geq n_0$, $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n \cong \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^j \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0 - j}$
 where j and q are the unique integers s.t

$$n = n_0 q + j \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq j \leq n_0 - 1.$$

This proves the claim.

For each closed pt $x \in X$, produce a pair (U_x, n_0) as above. Since $\bigcup_{x \in X} U_x$ contains all the closed points of

X , $X = \bigcup U_x$. But X is quasi-compact.

So $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m U_{x_i}$. Let n' be the max of the n_0 's coming from the x_i 's.

Then $\forall n \geq n'$,

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) \otimes_A \mathcal{O}_{X|U_{x_i}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n|_{U_{x_i}}$$

is sur $\forall i = 1, \dots, m$

As $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^m U_{x_i}$, $H^0(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n$ is sur $\Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n$ is globally gen $\forall n \geq n_0$.